

ates. These results provide significantly important information about the dioxygen-activation mechanism in biological and industrial systems.

Experimental Section

Synthetic procedures of ligands L2 and TEPA, as well as the copper(II) complex of L2 have been reported elsewhere.^[18,20]

L1: 2,2-Diphenylethylamine (1.97 g, 10 mmol) and 2-vinylpyridine (5.25 g, 50 mmol) were heated to reflux in CH₃OH (20 mL) containing acetic acid (3.01 g, 50 mmol) for 10 days. The solvent was removed by evaporation, and the resulting viscous material was dissolved in H₂O (200 mL) and extracted with CHCl₃ (3 × 200 mL). After drying over anhydrous K₂CO₃, evaporation of the organic solvent gave yellow oily material, from which L1 was isolated by SiO₂ column chromatography (CHCl₃/AcOEt as eluent) in 54% yield (2.21 g); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.76–2.96 (m, 8H; -CH₂-CH₂-Py), 3.16 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H; -CH₂-CH-Ph₂), 4.09 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H; -CH-Ph₂), 6.76 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H; H_{py-5}), 7.03–7.26 (m, 12H; C₆H₄ and H_{py-3}), 7.43 (td, *J* = 1.8 and 7.8 Hz, 2H; H_{py-4}), 8.50 ppm (ddd, *J* = 0.9, 1.8, and 4.8 Hz, 2H; H_{py-6}); FAB-HRMS (positive ion): calcd for C₂₈H₂₉N₃: *m/z* 406.2283; found: *m/z* 406.2289 [*M*⁺].

[Cu^{II}(L1)(ClO₄)₂·H₂O]: Ligand L1 (122.3 mg, 0.3 mmol) was treated with Cu^{II}(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (111.2 mg, 0.3 mmol) in CH₃OH (10 mL) for 30 min at room temperature. Addition of Et₂O (200 mL) to the mixture gave blue solids that were isolated by decantation, washed three times with Et₂O, and dried (83% yield). Single crystals were obtained by vapor diffusion of Et₂O into a solution of the complex in CH₃OH. FTIR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 1130, 1043, 621 cm⁻¹ (ClO₄⁻); FAB-MS (positive ion): *m/z* 569.2 [*M*⁺]; elemental analysis for [Cu^{II}(L1)(ClO₄)₂·H₂O, calcd (%) for C₂₈H₃₁O₉N₃CuCl₂: C 48.88, H 4.54, N 6.11; found: C 48.72, H 4.48, N 6.06.

[Cu^{II}(TEPA)(ClO₄)₂]: The TEPA ligand (332.5 mg, 1.0 mmol) was treated with Cu^{II}(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (370.5 mg, 1.0 mmol) in CH₃CN (10 mL) for 30 min at room temperature. Addition of Et₂O (200 mL) to the mixture gave a blue oily material that was isolated by decantation and redissolved into CH₃OH (10 mL). Addition of the CH₃OH solution into Et₂O (200 mL) gave blue solids that were isolated by decantation, washed with Et₂O three times, and dried (95% yield). Single crystals were obtained by vapor diffusion of Et₂O into a solution of the complex in CH₃OH. FTIR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 1143, 1081, 625 cm⁻¹ (ClO₄⁻); FAB-MS (positive ion): *m/z* 494.07 [*M*⁺]; elemental analysis for [Cu^{II}(TEPA)(ClO₄)₂], calcd (%) for C₂₁H₂₈O₈N₄CuCl₂: C 39.98, H 4.47, N 8.88; found: C 40.18, H 4.16, N 8.87.

Caution! The perchlorate salts in this study are all potentially explosive and should be handled with care.

Kinetic measurements for the reaction of copper(II) complexes (0.2 mM) and H₂O₂ were performed by using a multi-scan double mixing stopped-flow spectrophotometer designed for low-temperature measurements (RSP-1000, Unisoku Co., Ltd.) in CH₃OH at -90°C. The reaction of [Cu^{II}(L1)(ClO₄)₂] and H₂O₂ at the higher concentration (Figure S10 in the Supporting Information) was followed using the previously reported^[18] Hewlett Packard HP8453 diode array spectrophotometer with a Unisoku thermostated cell holder designed for low-temperature measurements.

Received: June 26, 2002

Revised: August 28, 2002 [Z19616]

- [1] A. G. Blackman, W. B. Tolman in *Metal-Oxo and Metal-Peroxo Species in Catalytic Oxidations* (Ed.: B. Meunier), Springer, Berlin, 2000, pp. 179–211.
- [2] S. Schindler, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2000, 2311–2326.
- [3] E. I. Solomon, U. M. Sundaram, T. E. Machonkin, *Chem. Rev.* 1996, 96, 2563–2605.
- [4] J. P. Klinman, *Chem. Rev.* 1996, 96, 2541–2561.
- [5] N. M. Okeley, W. A. van der Donk, *Chem. Biol.* 2000, 7, R159–R171.
- [6] P. Chen, K. Fujisawa, E. I. Solomon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, 122, 10177–10193.
- [7] A. Wada, M. Harata, K. Hasegawa, K. Jitsukawa, H. Masuda, M. Mukai, T. Kitagawa, H. Einaga, *Angew. Chem.* 1998, 110, 874–875; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1998, 37, 798–799.
- [8] M. Kodera, T. Kita, I. Miura, N. Nakayama, T. Kawata, K. Kano, S. Hirota, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, 123, 7715–7716.

- [9] H. Ohtsu, S. Itoh, S. Nagatomo, T. Kitagawa, S. Ogo, Y. Watanabe, S. Fukuzumi, *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 40, 3200–3207.
- [10] K. Fujisawa, M. Tanaka, Y. Moro-oka, N. Kitajima, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994, 116, 12079–12080.
- [11] D. J. E. Spencer, N. W. Aboelella, A. M. Reynolds, P. L. Holland, W. B. Tolman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 2108–2109.
- [12] K. D. Karlin, N. Wei, B. Jung, S. Kaderli, P. Niklaus, A. D. Zuberbühler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993, 115, 9506–9514.
- [13] D.-H. Lee, N. Wei, N. N. Murthy, Z. Tyeklár, K. D. Karlin, S. Kaderli, B. Jung, A. D. Zuberbühler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995, 117, 12498–12513.
- [14] The low-temperature stopped-flow technique has been well documented as a useful tool for the detection of unstable copper-dioxygen intermediates; a) J. A. Halfen, S. Mahapatra, E. C. Wilkinson, S. Kaderli, V. G. Young, Jr., L. Que, Jr., A. D. Zuberbühler, W. B. Tolman, *Science* 1996, 271, 1397–1400; b) K. D. Karlin, S. Kaderli, A. D. Zuberbühler, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1997, 30, 139–147; c) M. Weitzer, M. Schatz, F. Hampel, F. W. Heinemann, S. Schindler, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* 2002, 686–694.
- [15] Because of the low intensity of the Raman band of the ¹⁸O-derivative, the size of the isotope shift could not be determined accurately. Although the evidence for the (η¹-hydroperoxo)copper(II) species from Raman spectroscopy is not as strong as in earlier instances,^[6–9] the structural similarity of this molecule to others in the series^[9] and great similarities of the UV/Vis and the ¹⁶O-Raman data to those of the other (η¹-hydroperoxo)copper(II) complexes^[6–9] are strong enough arguments for the presence of the hydroperoxo intermediate.
- [16] UV/Vis spectroscopy and ESI-MS analysis of the final solution were identical to those of an authentic sample of [Cu^I(TEPA)](ClO₄).
- [17] N. Kitajima, K. Fujisawa, Y. Moro-oka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1989, 111, 8975–8976.
- [18] S. Itoh, H. Nakao, L. M. Berreau, T. Kondo, M. Komatsu, S. Fukuzumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, 120, 2890–2899.
- [19] The isotope-insensitive Raman band at 281 cm⁻¹ has recently been assigned to an A_g “accordion” mode of the Cu₂O₂ peroxo core, predominantly involving Cu–Cu motion; M. J. Henson, V. Mahadevan, T. D. P. Stack, E. I. Solomon, *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 40, 5068–5069.
- [20] K. D. Karlin, S. E. Sherman, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1982, 65, L39–L40.

Indenol Ether Formation from Aryl Alkynes Bearing *ortho*-Acetals: An Unprecedented Rearrangement in Palladium-Catalyzed Carboalkoxylation



Itaru Nakamura, Gan B. Bajracharya, Yuya Mizushima, and Yoshinori Yamamoto*

The catalytic addition reaction to a C–C multiple bond is one of the most important processes for organic synthesis, because this process can construct new chemical bonds in an efficient and atom-economic manner. A wide variety of transition-metal-catalyzed (TM-catalyzed) addition reactions of pronucleophiles (H–CR₃,^[1] H–NR₂,^[2] H–OR,^[3] H–OC(O)R,^[4] H–SR,^[5] H–PR₂,^[6] etc.) and hydrides (H–B,^[7]

[*] Prof. Dr. Y. Yamamoto, Dr. I. Nakamura, G. B. Bajracharya, Y. Mizushima
Department of Chemistry
Graduate School of Science
Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578 (Japan)
Fax: (+81) 22-217-6784
E-mail: yoshi@yamamoto1.chem.tohoku.ac.jp

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.


H–Si,^[8] H–Sn,^[9] etc.) have been developed and become a powerful method to construct various kinds of carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bonds. More recently, transition-metal-catalyzed addition of other types of bonds, such as B–B,^[10] B–Si,^[11,12] Si–Si,^[8a,11,13] Si–Sn,^[11,14] Sn–Sn,^[15] etc., have been reported. However, catalytic addition of a carbon–oxygen bond to carbon–carbon multiple bonds, so-called carboalkoxylation, has rarely been investigated, perhaps because of the lower reactivity of ether C–O bonds,^[16] although this process is useful to construct both carbon–carbon and carbon–oxygen bonds simultaneously [Eq. (1)]. It occurred to us that an acetal C–O bond would be more reactive than that in ethers. We now report that the palladium-catalyzed reaction of the aryl alkynes bearing *ortho*-acetals **1** gives the indenol ether **2** in high to moderate yields [Eq. (2)]. The mechanistic investigation of this unprecedented rearrangement^[17] reveals that R¹ migrates from the C-2 atom to the C-1 atom under the palladium-catalysis conditions.



The results of our study are summarized in Table 1. In the presence of 10 mol % of [PdCl₂(CH₃CN)₂], the reaction of 2-(1-pentynyl)benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (**1a**) proceeded smoothly at 30 °C, and the corresponding 1,2-dimethoxyindene derivative **2a** was obtained in 75 % yield (entry 1). Other transition-metal compounds, such as [Pd(PPh₃)₄], Pd(OAc)₂, NiCl₂, and [RhCl(cod)]₂ (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene), didn't promote the reaction at all. The reaction of **1a** proceeded even at room temperature and produced **2a** in 63 % yield, although the reaction took slightly longer. The reaction of the corresponding diethylacetal **1b** and dibutylacetal **1c** gave **2b** and **2c** in 87 % and 80 % yield, respectively (entries 2 and 3). The reaction of the dimethylacetal **1d** and

diethylacetal **1e** of 2-(1-octynyl)benzaldehyde also proceeded very smoothly (entries 4 and 5). Chloro, bromo, and ester substituents were tolerated in this reaction (entries 6 and 7). The steric bulk of R¹ exerted significant influence on the speed of the reaction. The reaction of 2-(cyclohexylethynyl)benzaldehyde dimethylacetal **1h** proceeded at 60 °C, producing **2h** in 52 % yield after 15 h (entry 8). The presence of the *tert*-butyl group (R¹ = *t*Bu) totally halted the reaction and the starting material was recovered under the reaction conditions described above. The reaction of 2-(2-phenylethynyl)benzaldehyde dimethylacetal **1i** gave the corresponding 3-aryl indenol ether **2i** in a moderate yield (entry 9). The reaction of **1j**, **1k**, and **1l** with substituents on the initial aromatic ring, proceeded in a similar manner (entries 10–12). On the contrary, the reaction of **1m** and **1n**, which had a methoxy group at the 4 and 5-positions, respectively, did not proceed at all.

The structure of **2** was confirmed by spectroscopic methods (especially by NOE analysis, see Supporting Information). Furthermore, the structure of **2i** was determined unambiguously by an X-ray crystallographic analysis of **3**, which was obtained through the hydrogenation of the double bond of **2i** [Eq. (3)]. The ORTEP structure of **3** is shown in Figure 1. It is





clear that the phenyl group of **1i** is at the benzylic position (C-1) of **2i** and the two methoxy groups at the C-2 and C-3 positions.

Plausible mechanisms of this reaction are illustrated in Scheme 1. The acetal group of **1** coordinates to an electron deficient Pd^{II} center as shown in **4** (route **A**). A carbon–oxygen bond would be cleaved to generate the oxonium cation **5** and the palladium alkoxide species. Nucleophilic attack of the oxonium cation by the alkynyl group would produce the five-membered ring species **6**. Alkoxylation of the C–C double bond of **6** would form the palladium–carbene complex **7**.^[18] Migration of R¹ followed by elimination of PdCl₂ would produce the carboalkoxylation product **2**. Alternatively, it is conceivable that coordination of the alkynyl moiety of **1** to Pd^{II} (as shown in **8**) occurs at the beginning of catalytic cycle (route **B**). Nucleophil-

Table 1. Palladium-catalyzed carboalkoxylation of the *o*-alkynylbenzaldehyde dialkylacetals **1**.^[a]


Entry	1	R ¹	R ²	R ³	2	Yield [%] ^[b]
1	1a	<i>n</i> Pr	Me	H	2a	75
2	1b	<i>n</i> Pr	Et	H	2b	87
3	1c	<i>n</i> Pr	Bu	H	2c	80
4	1d	<i>n</i> Hex	Me	H	2d	65
5	1e	<i>n</i> Hex	Et	H	2e	54
6	1f	(CH ₃) ₄ Cl	Me	H	2f	45
7	1g	(CH ₂) ₄ OCO(<i>p</i> -BrC ₆ H ₄)	Me	H	2g	45
8 ^[c]	1h	cyclohexyl	Me	H	2h	52
9	1i	Ph	Me	H	2i	40
10	1j	<i>n</i> Pr	Me	4-CF ₃	2j	57
11	1k	<i>n</i> Pr	Me	5-CF ₃	2k	52
12	1l	<i>n</i> Pr	Me	4-Me	2l	51

[a] The reaction of **1** was carried out in the presence of 10 mol % of [PdCl₂(CH₃CN)₂] in CH₃CN at 30 °C for 15 h. [b] Yield of product isolated. [c] The reaction was carried out at 60 °C.

Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of **3** (thermal ellipsoids set at 50% probability).Scheme 1. Plausible mechanisms which lead to the formation of **2**.

ic attack of the electron-deficient carbon–carbon triple bond by an alkoxide would give the vinylpalladium species **9**, which subsequently undergoes the ring-closing C–C bond formation to produce the palladium carbene species **7**.

To confirm the proposed step from **7** to **2** in Scheme 1, we carried out a ^{13}C labeling experiment [Eq. (4)]. In the



presence of catalytic amounts of $[\text{PdCl}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2]$, the reaction of **1i-c**, which has a ^{13}C carbon atom at the C-1 position, gave **2i-c** in 40% yield along with a trace amount of unknown byproducts. This result clearly indicates that the bond cleavage occurred between the C-2 and C-3 positions of **1i-c**.

The palladium-catalyzed hydrolysis of acetals and ketals, which lead to the corresponding carbonyl compounds, was reported by Lipshutz et al.,^[19] and the rhodium-catalyzed substitution reaction of acetals with TMSCN (TMS = trimethylsilyl) to produce α -alkoxycyanides was reported by Mukaiyama and co-workers.^[20] To our knowledge, however, catalytic addition of an acetal carbon–oxygen bond to carbon–carbon multiple bonds is not known. The structural framework of indenol derivatives is often found in naturally occurring compounds,^[21] and the alkenyl ether functionality may be synthetically elaborated by reaction with a variety of electrophiles.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of **2a**: **1a** (109.2 mg, 0.5 mmol) in acetonitrile (1 mL) was added to $[\text{PdCl}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2]$ (12.9 mg, 0.05 mmol) in acetonitrile (1 mL) under an Ar atmosphere, in a pressure vial. After heating at 30 °C for 15 h, the reaction mixture was filtered through a short silica-gel column using ethyl acetate as an eluent. Separation by silica-gel column chromatography using hexane/EtOAc as an eluent afforded the product **2a**. IR (neat): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3067–2823, 1648, 1607, 1469, 1350, 1332, 1249, 1164, 1099, 1060, 1035, 933, 758, 731 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 0.95 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H), 1.58 (m, 2 H), 2.39 (m, 2 H), 3.10 (s, 3 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H), 5.18 (s, 1 H), 7.06–7.03 (m, 2 H), 7.31–7.21 ppm (m, 2 H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 14.07, 21.22, 25.11, 51.44, 57.85, 78.33, 117.68, 119.70, 123.23, 123.55, 128.64, 136.19, 144.53, 156.89 ppm; elemental analysis (%) calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_2$ (218.30): C 77.03, H 8.31; found: C 76.78, H 8.37; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_2$: m/z 218.1306, found: m/z 218.1307.

Received: July 11, 2002 [Z19716]

- [1] Review: a) S. Murai, *Activation of Unreactive Bonds and Organic Synthesis: Topics in Organometallic Chemistry*, Vol. 3, Springer, New York, **1999**, pp. 47–79; b) Y. Yamamoto, U. Radhakrishnan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1999**, 28, 199; c) G. Dyker, *Angew. Chem.* **1999**, 111, 1808; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, 38, 1698.
- [2] Review: a) M. B. Gasc, A. Lattes, J. J. Perie, *Tetrahedron* **1983**, 39, 703; b) D. Savoia, *Methoden Org. Chem. (Houben-Weyl)* 4th ed. 1952–, Vol. E21e, **1995**, p. 5356; c) T. E. Müller, M. Beller, *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, 98, 675.
- [3] a) E. J. Smutny, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, 89, 6793; b) H. Yagi, E. Tanaka, H. Ishiwatari, M. Hidai, Y. Uchida, *Synthesis* **1977**, 334; c) Y. Inoue, Y. Ohtsuka, H. Hashimoto, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1984**, 57, 3345; d) D. R. Coulson, *J. Org. Chem.* **1973**, 38, 1483; e) D. H. Camacho, I. Nakamura, S. Saito, Y. Yamamoto, *Angew. Chem.* **1999**,

- 111, 3576; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 3365; f) D. H. Camacho, I. Nakamura, S. Saito, Y. Yamamoto, *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 270.
- [4] a) T. Mitsudo, Y. Hori, Y. Yamakawa, Y. Watanabe, *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 2230; b) D. M. T. Chan, T. B. Marder, D. Milstein, N. J. Taylor, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 6385; c) B. M. Trost, W. Brieden, K. H. Baringhaus, *Angew. Chem.* **1992**, *104*, 1392; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1992**, *31*, 1335; d) H. Doucet, B. Martin-Vaca, C. Bruneau, P. H. Dixneuf, *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 7247; e) M. Al-Masum, Y. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 3809.
- [5] Review: a) T. Kondo, T. Mitsudo, *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 3205; for hydrothiolation of alkynes, b) A. Ogawa, T. Ikeda, K. Kimura, T. Hirao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 5108.
- [6] Addition of P–H bonds to alkynes: a) L.-B. Han, R. Hua, M. Tanaka, *Angew. Chem.* **1998**, *110*, 98; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 94; b) M. A. Kazankova, I. V. Efimova, A. N. Kochetkov, V. V. Afanas'ev, I. P. Beletskaya, P. H. Dixneuf, *Synlett* **2001**, 497.
- [7] Review: a) I. Beletskaya, A. Pelter, *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 4957; b) "Metal-catalyzed Hydroboration Reactions": G. C. Fu in *Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis* (Eds.: M. Beller, C. Bolm), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **1998**, pp. 141–146.
- [8] Review: a) K. A. Horn, *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 1317; b) "Hydrosilylation of Olefins": K. Yamamoto, T. Hayashi in *Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis* (Eds.: M. Beller, C. Bolm), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **1998**, pp. 120–129.
- [9] Review: N. D. Smith, J. Mancuso, M. Lautens, *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 3257.
- [10] Diboration of alkynes: a) T. Ishiyama, N. Matsuda, N. Miyaara, A. Suzuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 11018; b) T. Ishiyama, N. Matsuda, M. Murata, F. Ozawa, A. Suzuki, N. Miyaara, *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 713; c) G. Lesley, P. Nguyen, N. J. Taylor, T. B. Marder, A. J. Scott, W. Clegg, N. C. Norman, *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 5137; d) C. N. Iverson, M. R. Smith III, *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 5155.
- [11] Review: M. Sugimoto, Y. Ito, *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 3221.
- [12] Silaboration of alkynes, a) M. Sugimoto, H. Nakamura, Y. Ito, *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 2777; b) M. Sugimoto, T. Matsuda, H. Nakamura, Y. Ito, *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 8787.
- [13] Disilylation of alkynes: a) H. Sakurai, Y. Kamiyama, Y. Nakadaira, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 931; b) F. Ozawa, M. Sugawara, T. Hayashi, *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 3237.
- [14] Silastannylation of alkynes: M. Hada, Y. Tanaka, M. Ito, M. Murakami, H. Amii, Y. Ito, H. Nakatsuji, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 8754.
- [15] E. Piers, R. T. Skerlj, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1986**, 626.
- [16] a) H. Nakamura, M. Sekido, M. Ito, Y. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 6838; b) N. Moneiro, G. Balme, *Synlett* **1998**, 746; c) A. Fürstner, H. Szillat, F. Stelzer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 6785.
- [17] Catalytic skeletal rearrangement: a) T. J. Katz, T. M. Sivevec, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 737; b) A. Kinoshita, M. Mori, *Synlett* **1994**, 1020; c) B. M. Trost, G. J. Tanoury, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 1636; d) B. M. Trost, M. K. Trost, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 1850; e) B. M. Trost, A. S. K. Hashmi, *Angew. Chem.* **1993**, *105*, 1130; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1993**, *32*, 1085; f) N. Chatani, T. Morimoto, T. Muto, S. Murai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 6049; g) N. Chatani, N. Furukawa, H. Sakurai, S. Murai, *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 901; h) N. Chatani, K. Kataoka, S. Murai, N. Furukawa, Y. Seki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 9104; i) ref. [16c].
- [18] a) W. A. Herrmann, C.-P. Reisinger, M. Spiegler, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, *557*, 93; b) K. L. Greenman, D. S. Carter, D. L. Van Vranken, *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 5219; c) K. Miki, F. Nishino, K. Ohe, U. Sakae, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 5260.
- [19] B. H. Lipshutz, D. Pollart, J. Monforte, H. Kotsuki, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 705.
- [20] T. Soga, H. Takenoshita, M. Yamada, T. Mukaiyama, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1990**, *63*, 3122.
- [21] a) T. Kumamoto, N. Tabe, K. Yamaguchi, H. Yagishita, H. Iwasa, T. Ishikawa, *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 2717; b) V. M. Marathias, P. H. Bolton, *Biochemistry* **1999**, *38*, 4355; c) W. M. Clark, A. M. Ticker-Eldridge, G. Kris Huang, L. N. Pridgen, M. A. Olsen, R. J. Mills, I. Lantos, N. H. Baine, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 4550.

Monodisperse Surface Micelles of Nonpolar Amphiphiles in Langmuir Monolayers**

Mounir Maaloum, Pierre Muller, and Marie Pierre Krafft*

There are several examples of surface micelles (hemimicelles) formed by self-assembly of small molecules and macromolecules that are adsorbed on solid surfaces and in equilibrium with aqueous solutions.^[1] On the other hand, reports on surface micelles in Langmuir monolayers are essentially limited to copolymers.^[2] Although their existence has long been predicted,^[3,4] so far only one example of surface micelles made from small amphiphilic molecules exists.^[5] These surface micelles were made from *strongly polar* surfactants. Furthermore no rule for predicting the size of the hemimicelles has been found. We report here on novel surface micelles made of a nonpolar amphiphile, namely, the semifluorinated alkane C₈F₁₇C₁₆H₃₃ (F8H16), their structure, and a model that accounts for their size.

Since the pioneering work of Gaines demonstrated that semifluorinated alkanes C_nF_{n+1}C_mH_{2m+1} (FnHm diblocks) form Langmuir monolayers,^[6] their structure has remained controversial. A primary issue was the orientation of the FnHm molecules at the air–water interface. Grazing-incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXD) and X-ray reflectivity (GIXR) studies on F12H18 concluded that the most probable arrangement was a monolayer in which the Hm segments are in contact with water and the Fn segments extend upwards from the surface.^[7] However, a bilayer structure in which the diblocks are antiparallel, with tilted F8 segments outside and interleaved H18 segments inside, was recently proposed on the basis of X-ray reflectivity measurements.^[8] In the bulk, FnHm molecules crystallize in a large number of different stable smectic phases, depending on temperature and on *n* and *m* block lengths.^[9–11] FnHm were instrumental in allowing reversible vertical phase separation from phospholipids upon compression of Langmuir monolayers.^[12] They allowed substantial stabilization of fluorocarbon-in-water emulsions and control over particle size.^[13,14]

F8H16^[15] was thoroughly purified by column chromatography, and its purity (>99%) determined by GC, TLC, NMR spectroscopy, and elemental analysis. Monolayers were spread from a 1 mM solution of F8H16 in chloroform onto pure water from a milli-Q system. Surface pressure *P_s* (Wilhelmy plate method) versus molecular area isotherms were recorded at 22.0 ± 0.5 °C on a Langmuir trough (Riegler & Kirstein, Germany) equipped with two movable barriers.

F8H16 formed a monolayer that remained stable up to about 8 mN m^{−1} (Figure 1) with a limiting area of about 30 Å² that corresponds to the cross section of a perfluorinated chain, which is larger than that of a typical hydrocarbon chain (ca.

[*] Dr. M. P. Krafft, Dr. M. Maaloum, Dr. P. Muller
Institut Charles Sadron (CNRS)
6, rue Boussingault, 67083 Strasbourg Cedex (France)
Fax: (+33)3-88-41-4099
E-mail: krafft@ics.u-strasbg.fr

[**] This work was supported by the CNRS. We thank AtoFina (Pierre-Bénite, France) for the gift of fluorinated precursors.